



Oscillatory Behavior of Second Order Neutral Difference Equations with Mixed Neutral Term

M. Angayarkanni¹ and S. Kavitha

¹Department of Mathematics, Kandaswami Kandar's College,
Velur - 638 182, Namakkal (Dt), Tamil Nadu, India.
maniangaiangai@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study the oscillatory behavior of solution of second order neutral difference equation with mixed neutral term of the form

$$\Delta(a_n(\Delta z_n)) + q_n x_{\sigma(n)} = 0, \quad n \in N_0,$$

where $z_n = x_n + b_n x_{n-l} + c_n x_{n+k}$ and $\sum_{s=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} = \infty$. We obtain some new oscillation criteria for second order neutral difference equation. Examples are presented to illustrate the main results.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39A10.

Key Words: Oscillation; second order; neutral difference equation; mixed neutral term.

INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the oscillatory behavior of solution of second order neutral difference equation with mixed neutral term of the form

$$\Delta(a_n(\Delta z_n)) + q_n x_{\sigma(n)} = 0, \quad n \in N_0, \quad (1)$$

where $z_n = x_n + b_n x_{n-l} + c_n x_{n+k}$.

Subject to the following conditions:

(H₁) $\{b_n\}, \{c_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ are non-negative real sequences with $0 \leq b_n < b < \infty$, $0 \leq c_n \leq c < \infty$ and $q_n > 0$;

(H₂) $\{a_n\}$ is real sequence with $\sum_{s=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} = \infty$;

(H₃) l, k are nonnegative constant, $\sigma(n)$ is a sequence of positive integers with $\Delta\sigma(n) > 0$ and Δ is the forward difference operator defined by $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$.

Let $\theta = \max\{l, k\}$. By a solution of equation (1), we mean a real sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is defined for $n \geq n_0 - \theta$ satisfies equation (1) for all n . A non trivial solution $\{x_n\}$ is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative and nonoscillatory otherwise. The oscillatory behavior of nonlinear neutral delay difference equation of second order have been investigated by several authors, see for example [6, 9, 11, 12] and the references quoted therein. Following this trend, in this paper, we obtain some new oscillation criteria for equation (1) which extend some known results. Some examples are provided to illustrate the main results.

2 Main Results

We begin with the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 If

$$\sum_{s=n_0}^{\infty} Q_s = \infty \quad (2)$$

where $Q_n = \min\{q_n, q_{n-l}, q_{n+k}\}$, then every solution of equation (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1) for all $n \geq n_0$. Then there exists $n_1 \geq n_0$ such that $\{x_n\} \neq 0$ for all $n \geq n_1$. With out loss of generality, we may assume that $x_n > 0$, $x_{n-l} > 0$, $x_{n+k} > 0$ and $x_{\sigma(n)} > 0$ for all $n \geq n_1$.



From equation (1), we have

$$\Delta(a_n(\Delta z_n)) = -q_n x_{\sigma(n)} < 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_1. \quad (3)$$

Therefore $a_n(\Delta z_n)$ is nonincreasing and hence (Δz_n) is nonincreasing. We shall show that $\Delta z_n > 0$ for all $n \geq n_1$.

If not, there exists $n_2 \geq n_1$ such that $\Delta z_{n_2} < 0$. Then

$$a_n(\Delta z_n) \leq a_{n_2}(\Delta z_{n_2}), \quad n \geq n_2. \quad (4)$$

Summing the above inequality from n_2 to $n-1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z_n - z_{n_2} &\leq a_{n_2}(\Delta z_{n_2}) \sum_{s=n_2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \\ z_n &\leq z_{n_2} + a_{n_2}(\Delta z_{n_2}) \sum_{s=n_2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (5), we obtain $z_n \rightarrow -\infty$, which is a contradiction. We conclude that $\Delta z_n > 0$. From equation (1) and definition of z_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(a_n(\Delta z_n)) + q_n x_{\sigma(n)} + b\Delta(a_{n-l}(\Delta z_{n-l})) + bq_{n-l} x_{\sigma(n-l)} \\ + c\Delta(a_{n+k}(\Delta z_{n+k})) + cq_{n+k} x_{\sigma(n+k)} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Thus,

$$\Delta(a_n(\Delta z_n)) + b\Delta(a_{n-l}(\Delta z_{n-l})) + c\Delta(a_{n+k}(\Delta z_{n+k})) + Q_n z_{\sigma(n)} \leq 0, \quad n \geq n_1. \quad (7)$$

Summing the above inequality from n_1 to $n-1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} Q_s z_{\sigma(s)} + a_n(\Delta z_n) - a_{n_1}(\Delta z_{n_1}) + b(a_{n-l}(\Delta z_{n-l}) \\ - b(a_{n_1-l}(\Delta z_{n_1-l})) + c(a_{n+k}(\Delta z_{n+k})) - c(a_{n_1+k}(\Delta z_{n_1+k})) \leq 0 \\ \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} Q_s z_{\sigma(s)} \leq a_{n_1}(\Delta z_{n_1}) - a_n(\Delta z_n) + b(a_{n-l}(\Delta z_{n-l}) - a_{n_1-l}(\Delta z_{n_1-l})) \\ + c(a_{n+k}(\Delta z_{n+k})) - a_{n_1+k}(\Delta z_{n_1+k}). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

But $\Delta z_n > 0$ for all $n \geq n_1$. There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $z_n \geq c > 0$ for all $n \geq n_1$. From inequality (8), we have

$$\sum_{s=n_1}^{\infty} Q_s < \infty, \quad (9)$$

which is contradiction to condition (2). This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2 Assume that $\sigma(n) = n - m$ such that $m > l$ and m is positive constant. If

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n-m+l}^{n-1} R_{(s-m)} Q_s > (1 + b + c) \left(\frac{m}{m+1} \right)^{m+1}, \quad (10)$$

where $R_n = \sum_{s=n_0}^n \frac{1}{a_s}$, then every solution of equation (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a non-oscillatory solution of equation (1) for all $n \geq n_0$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain inequality (7). Let

$$w_n = a_n(\Delta z_n) + b(a_{n-l}(\Delta z_{n-l})) + c(a_{n+k}(\Delta z_{n+k})), \quad (11)$$

then from inequality (7), we obtain

$$\Delta w_n + Q_n z_{n-m} \leq 0, \quad n \geq n_1.$$

Since $a_n(\Delta z_n)$ is non-increasing, we have

$$a_s \Delta z_s \geq a_n(\Delta z_n), \quad n \geq s.$$



Dividing the last inequality by a_s , we have

$$\Delta z_s \geq \frac{a_n(\Delta z_n)}{a_s}. \quad (12)$$

Summing from n_1 to $n-1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &\geq a_n(\Delta z_n) \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \\ z_n &\geq R_n a_n(\Delta z_n), \quad n \geq n_1. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

From equation (11), we obtain

$$w_n \geq a_{n-l}(\Delta z_{n-l})(1+b+c). \quad (14)$$

Combining (12), (13) and (14), we obtain

$$\Delta w_n + \frac{R_{[n-m]}}{(1+b+c)} w_{n+l-m} \leq 0. \quad (15)$$

By Theorem 6.20.5 in [1] and the condition (15) implies that equation (10) has no positive solution. This contradiction completes the proof.

Next to define the operator T by $T: \sum_{s=\sigma(n)}^{n-1} \phi(s)g(s)$ and $T[\Delta g(s)] = -T[g(s+1)\chi(s)]$, $\sigma(n) < n$, where $\{\phi_n\}$, $\{g_n\}$ and $\{\chi_n\}$ are positive real sequences.

Theorem 2.3 Assume that $\sigma(n) \leq n-l$. There exists a positive real sequence $\{k_n\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} T \left[k_s Q_s - (1+b+c) \frac{(\chi_s + \frac{\Delta k_s}{k_{s+1}})^2}{4k_s} k_{s+1}^2 a_{\sigma(s)} \right] > 0, \quad (16)$$

where Q_n is defined as in Theorem 2.1. Then every solution of equation (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1). Then there exists $n_1 \geq n_0$ such that $x_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_n > 0$, $x_{n-l} > 0$, $x_{n+k} > 0$ and $x_{\sigma(n)} > 0$ for all $n \geq n_1$.

Define

$$w_n = k_n \frac{a_n(\Delta z_n)}{z_{\sigma(n)}}, \quad n \geq n_1. \quad (17)$$

Thus $w_n > 0$ for $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\Delta w_n = \frac{k_n}{z_{\sigma(n)}} \Delta(a_n(\Delta z_n)) + \frac{a_{n+1}(\Delta z_{n+1})}{z_{\sigma(n+1)}} \Delta k_n - \frac{k_n a_{n+1}(\Delta z_{n+1}) \Delta z_{\sigma(n)}}{z_{\sigma(n)} z_{\sigma(n+1)}}. \quad (18)$$

From (3) and fact that $\Delta z_n > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\Delta z_{\sigma(n)}}{\Delta z_{n+1}} \geq \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_{\sigma(n)}} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_1 \geq n_0. \quad (19)$$

Using (17) and (19) in (18), we obtain

$$\Delta w_n \leq \frac{k_n \Delta(a_n(\Delta z_n))}{z_{\sigma(n)}} + \frac{\Delta k_n}{k_{n+1}} w_{n+1} - \frac{k_n}{k_{n+1}^2} \frac{w_{n+1}^2}{a_{\sigma(n)}}. \quad (20)$$

Next we define

$$u_n = k_n \frac{(a_{n-l})(\Delta z_{n-l})}{z_{\sigma(n)}}, \quad n \geq n_1. \quad (21)$$

Then $u_n > 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\Delta u_n = k_n \frac{\Delta(a_{n-l}(\Delta z_{n-l}))}{z_{\sigma(n)}} + \Delta k_n \frac{(a_{n+1-l})(\Delta z_{n+1-l})}{z_{\sigma(n+1)}} - \frac{k_n (a_{n+1-l})(\Delta z_{n+1-l}) \Delta z_{\sigma(n)}}{z_{\sigma(n)} z_{\sigma(n+1)}}. \quad (22)$$



From (3) and fact that $\Delta z_n > 0$, noting that $\sigma(n) \leq n - l$, we obtain

$$\frac{\Delta z \sigma(n)}{\Delta z_{n+1-l}} \geq \frac{a_{n+1-l}}{a \sigma(n)}. \quad (23)$$

Using (21) and (23) in (22), we obtain

$$\Delta u_n \leq k_n \frac{\Delta(a_{n-l}(\Delta z_{n-l}))}{z \sigma(n)} + \Delta k_n \frac{u_{n+1}}{k_{n+1}} - k_n \frac{u_{n+1}^2}{k_{n+1}^2 a \sigma(n)}. \quad (24)$$

Define

$$v_n = \frac{k_n(a_{n+k}(\Delta z_{n+k}))}{z \sigma(n)}, \quad n \geq n_1. \quad (25)$$

Then $v_n > 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\Delta v_n = \frac{k_n \Delta(a_{n+k}(\Delta z_{n+k}))}{z \sigma(n)} + \frac{\Delta k_n(a_{n+1+k}(\Delta z_{n+1+k}))}{z \sigma(n+1)} - \frac{k_n(a_{n+1+k}(\Delta z_{n+1+k})) \Delta z \sigma(n)}{z \sigma(n) z \sigma(n+1)}. \quad (26)$$

From (3) and fact that $\Delta z_n > 0$, noting that $\sigma(n) \leq n - l \leq n + k$, we have

$$\frac{\Delta z \sigma(n)}{\Delta z_{n+1+k}} \geq \frac{a_{n+1+k}}{a \sigma(n)}. \quad (27)$$

Using (25) and (27) in (26), we obtain

$$\Delta v_n \leq \frac{k_n \Delta(a_{n+k}(\Delta z_{n+k}))}{z \sigma(n)} + \frac{\Delta k_n v_{n+1}}{k_{n+1}} - \frac{k_n v_{n+1}^2}{k_{n+1}^2 a \sigma(n)}. \quad (28)$$

Combining (20), (24) and (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_n + b \Delta u_n + c \Delta v_n &\leq \frac{k_n \Delta(a_n \Delta z_n)}{z \sigma(n)} + b k_n \frac{\Delta(a_{n-l}(\Delta z_{n-l}))}{z \sigma(n)} \\ &+ c k_n \frac{\Delta(a_{n+k}(\Delta z_{n+k}))}{z \sigma(n)} + \frac{\Delta k_n}{k_{n+1}} w_{n+1} - \frac{k_n w_{n+1}^2}{k_{n+1}^2 a \sigma(n)} \\ &+ b \frac{\Delta k_n}{k_{n+1}} u_{n+1} - b \frac{k_n u_{n+1}^2}{k_{n+1}^2 a \sigma(n)} + c \frac{\Delta k_n}{k_{n+1}} v_{n+1} - c \frac{k_n v_{n+1}^2}{k_{n+1}^2 a \sigma(n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

From (7) and (29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_n + b \Delta u_n + c \Delta v_n &\leq -k_n Q_n + \frac{\Delta k_n}{k_{n+1}} w_{n+1} - \frac{k_n w_{n+1}^2}{k_{n+1}^2 a \sigma(n)} \\ &+ b \frac{\Delta k_n}{k_{n+1}} u_{n+1} - b \frac{k_n u_{n+1}^2}{k_{n+1}^2 a \sigma(n)} + c \frac{\Delta k_n}{k_{n+1}} v_{n+1} - c \frac{k_n v_{n+1}^2}{k_{n+1}^2 a \sigma(n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Apply the operator T on (30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T[\Delta w_s + b \Delta u_s + c \Delta v_s] &\leq T[-k_s Q_s + \frac{\Delta k_s}{k_{s+1}} w_{s+1} - \frac{k_s w_{s+1}^2}{k_{s+1}^2 a \sigma(s)} \\ &+ b \frac{\Delta k_s}{k_{s+1}} u_{s+1} - b \frac{k_s u_{s+1}^2}{k_{s+1}^2 a \sigma(s)} + c \frac{\Delta k_s}{k_{s+1}} v_{s+1} - c \frac{k_s v_{s+1}^2}{k_{s+1}^2 a \sigma(s)}] \\ T[k_s Q_s] &\leq T[(\chi_s + \frac{\Delta k_s}{k_{s+1}}) w_{s+1} + b (\chi_s + \frac{\Delta k_s}{k_{s+1}}) u_{s+1} + c (\chi_s + \frac{\Delta k_s}{k_{s+1}}) v_{s+1} \\ &- \frac{k_s w_{s+1}^2}{k_{s+1}^2 a \sigma(s)} - \frac{k_s u_{s+1}^2}{k_{s+1}^2 a \sigma(s)} - \frac{k_s v_{s+1}^2}{k_{s+1}^2 a \sigma(s)}] \\ T[k_s Q_s] &\leq T[\frac{(\chi_s + \frac{\Delta k_s}{k_{s+1}})^2}{4 k_s} k_{s+1}^2 a \sigma(s) + b \frac{(\chi_s + \frac{\Delta k_s}{k_{s+1}})^2}{4 k_s} k_{s+1}^2 a \sigma(s) \\ &+ c \frac{(\chi_s + \frac{\Delta k_s}{k_{s+1}})^2}{4 k_s} k_{s+1}^2 a \sigma(s)] \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$



or

$$T[k_s Q_s - (1 + b + c) \frac{(\chi_s + \frac{\Delta k_s}{k_{s+1}})^2}{4k_s} k_{s+1}^2 a\sigma(s)] \leq 0,$$

which is contradiction to inequality (16). This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.1 Assume that $\sigma(n) = n - l$ and there exists a sequence $\{k_n\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left[(n-s)^\alpha (s-n_0)^\beta k_s Q_s - (1 + b + c) \frac{(\chi_s + \frac{\Delta k_s}{k_{s+1}})^2}{4k_s} k_{s+1}^2 a\sigma(s) \right] > 0,$$

where $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\chi_s = \frac{\beta(n) - (b+c)s + \alpha n_0}{(n-s)(s-n_0)}$. Then every solution of equation (1) is oscillatory.

3 Examples

In this section, we provide three examples.

Example 3.1 Consider the second order neutral difference equation of the form

$$\Delta(2\Delta(x_n + 2x_{n-2} + x_{n+3})) + 16x_{n-3} = 0, \quad n \geq 4, \quad (32)$$

where $a_n = 2$, $b_n = 2$, $c_n = 1$, $l = 2$, $k = 3$, $\sigma(n) = n - 3$ and $q_n = 16$. Since all the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Hence every solution of equation (32) is oscillatory. In fact one such solution is $x_n = (-1)^n$.

Example 3.2 Consider the second order neutral difference equation of the form

$$\Delta\left(\frac{1}{10n+13}\Delta(x_n + x_{n-2} + 3x_{n+2})\right) + \frac{2}{n-3}x_{n-3} = 0, \quad n \geq 4, \quad (33)$$

where $a_n = \frac{1}{10n+13}$, $b_n = 1$, $c_n = 3$, $l = 2$, $k = 2$, $\sigma(n) = n - 3$ and $q_n = \frac{2}{n-3}$, since all the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied. Hence every solution of equation (33) is oscillatory. In fact one such solution is $x_n = n(-1)^n$.

Example 3.3 Consider the second order neutral difference equation of the form

$$\Delta^2(x_n + 2x_{n-2} + 5x_{n+3}) + 8x_{n-2} = 0, \quad n \geq 3, \quad (34)$$

where $a_n = 1$, $b_n = 2$, $c_n = 5$, $l = 2$, $k = 3$, $\sigma(n) = n - 2$ and $q_n = 8$. Since all the conditions of Corollary 2.1 are satisfied. Hence every solution of equation (34) is oscillatory. In fact one such solution is $x_n = (-1)^{n+1}$.

References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, Difference Equations and Inequalities, Second Edition, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [2] R.P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, S.R. Grace and D.O. Regan, Discrete Oscillation Theory, Hindawi Publ. Corp., New York, 2005.
- [3] R.P. Agarwal, M.M.S. Manuel and E. Thandapani, Oscillatory and nonoscillatory behavior of second order delay difference equations, Appl. Math. Lett., **10**(2)(1997), 103-109.
- [4] J. Cheng, Existence of nonoscillatory solution of second order linear difference equations, Appl. Math. Lett., **20**(2007), 892-899.
- [5] I. Gyori and G. Ladas, Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [6] J. Jiang, Oscillation criteria for second order quasilinear neutral delay difference equations, Appl. Math. Comput., **125**(2002), 287-293.
- [7] W.G. Kelley and A.C. Peterson, Difference Equations: An Introduction with Applications, Acad. Press, New York, 1991.
- [8] G. Ladas, Ch.G. Philos and Y.G. Sticas, Sharp condition for the oscillation of delay difference equations, J. Appl. Math. Simul., **2**(2)(1989), 101-112.
- [9] H.J. Li and C.C. Yeh, Oscillation criteria for second order neutral delay difference equations, Comput. Math. Appl., **36**(1999), 123-132.



- [10] E. Thandapani, J.R. Graef and P.W. Spikes, On the oscillation of second order quasilinear difference equations, *Nonlinear World*, **3**(1996), 545-565.
- [11] E. Thandapani and K. Mahalingam, Oscillation and nonoscillation of second order neutral difference equations, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **53**(128)(2003), 935-947.
- [12] E. Thandapani and S. Selvarangam, Oscillation theorems for second order nonlinear neutral difference equations, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, **2**(4)(2012), 866-879.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).